Cytometry-based single-cell analysis of intact epithelial signaling reveals MAPK activation divergent from TNF-α-induced apoptosis in vivo
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Abstract

Understanding heterogeneous cellular behaviors in a complex tissue requires the evaluation of signaling networks at single-cell resolution. However, probing signaling in epithelial tissues using cytometry-based single-cell analysis has been confounded by the necessity of single-cell dissociation, where disrupting cell-to-cell connections inherently perturbs native cell signaling states. Here, we demonstrate a novel strategy (Disaggregation for Intracellular Signaling in Single Epithelial Cells from Tissue—DISSECT) that preserves native signaling for Cytometry Time-of-Flight (CyTOF) and fluorescent flow cytometry applications. A 21-plex CyTOF analysis encompassing core signaling and cell-identity markers was performed on the small intestinal epithelium after systemic tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) stimulation. Unsupervised and supervised analyses robustly selected signaling features that identify a unique subset of epithelial cells that are sensitized to TNF-α-induced apoptosis in the seemingly homogeneous enterocyte population. Specifically, p-ERK and apoptosis are divergently regulated in neighboring enterocytes within the epithelium, suggesting a mechanism of contact-dependent survival. Our novel single-cell approach can broadly be applied, using both CyTOF and multiparameter flow cytometry, for investigating normal and diseased cell states in a wide range of epithelial tissues.
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Introduction

Characterization of protein signaling networks for systems-level analysis of cellular behavior requires the quantification of multiple signaling pathway activities in a multiplex fashion. Previous and current studies of multi-pathway epithelial signaling rely on bulk assays that hinge on the assumption of cell homogeneity in, for example, in vitro cell culture systems. Although useful in revealing coarse-grain biological insights into behaviors exhibited by a majority of cells (Lau et al, 2011, 2012, 2013), these technologies fail to address the complexities exhibited by heterogeneous cell types in vivo. Flow cytometry is a tractable method for detecting and quantifying signal transduction information at single-cell resolution (Irish et al, 2004; Krutzik et al, 2004). CyTOF, where the limitation of fluorescence spectral overlap is overcome by the resolution of metal-labeled reagents by mass spectrometry, allows for multiplex sampling of protein signals at a network scale and at single-cell resolution (Bendall et al, 2011, 2014). In parallel, newly developed fluorescent dyes and compensation algorithms allow 15–20 parameters to be measured simultaneously using multicolor fluorescent flow cytometry (O’Donnell et al, 2013). A tremendous opportunity for single-cell studies lies in expanding quantitative cytometric approaches to epithelial tissues, from which many diseases arise. A significant challenge, however, is the preparation of single-cell suspensions from these tissues while maintaining intact cell signaling states. Disruption of epithelial cell junctions during cell detachment perturbs native cell signaling networks (Baum & Georgiou, 2011; Pieters et al, 2012) and can create experimental artifacts that overwhelm native signaling. To date, strategies to quantify epithelial protein signal transduction by cytometry approaches without confounding dissociation artifacts have not been developed.
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We present a novel method, DISSECT, for preparing single-cell suspensions from epithelial tissues for single-cell, cytometry-based signaling analyses. We use DISSECT followed by CyTOF to characterize multiple signaling pathway responses in the murine intestinal epithelium following in vivo exposure to TNF-α, a pleiotropic cytokine that plays significant roles in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease (Colombel et al., 2010), celiac disease (Chaudhary & Ghosh, 2005), and necrotizing enterocolitis (Halpern et al., 2006). In the villus of the duodenum, TNF-α triggers caspase-dependent cell death, creating an epithelial barrier defect that increases exposure of nutrient and microbial antigen to the underlying immune system (Lau et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2013). Remarkably, only a fraction of villus cells undergo apoptosis, and higher levels of cell death cannot be induced by a higher TNF-α dose (Lau et al., 2011). The existence of heterogeneous responses provides a unique opportunity to leverage the natural variation of cells for identifying perturbations that result in desirable cellular outcomes. To decipher heterogeneous responses at single-cell resolution, we first provide rigorous, quantitative validation of our single-cell approach in comparison with gold standard lysate-based methods for evaluating both cellular identity and signaling. We then use DISSECT-CyTOF to quantify 21 protein and phospho-protein analytes across core signaling pathways at single-cell resolution. Quantitative modeling of single-cell datasets reveals that a subset of the presumably homogeneous enterocyte population exhibits combinations of signaling responses that confer sensitivity to TNF-α-induced cell death. Our results reveal novel insights into the intricacies of in vivo epithelial cell populations that exhibit significant complexity when perturbed and then observed at single-cell resolution. Our approach can be extended to a broad range of complex, heterogeneous epithelial tissues that can be studied via the use of either multi-parameter flow cytometry or CyTOF.

Results

A novel disaggregation procedure for investigating epithelial signaling heterogeneity

Tissues in vivo present substantial heterogeneity at the cellular level, as exemplified by the different responses of individual cells to exogenous perturbations. We modeled heterogeneous response in vivo by inducing villus epithelial cell death by systemic TNF-α administration. TNF-α triggered apoptosis only in a third of duodenal villus epithelial cells over a 4-h time course (Fig EV1A and B). The remaining cells were not in the process of cell death, as evidenced by the full recovery of intestinal morphology 48 h after TNF-α exposure (Fig EV1C). Heterogeneous, TNF-α-induced apoptosis occurred intermittently throughout the length of the villus, not only at the villus tip as observed in homeostatic cell shedding (Figs 1A and EV1D). Furthermore, TNF-α-induced apoptosis appeared to occur solely in a subset of villus enterocytes, as cleaved caspase-3 (CC3) did not co-localize with other epithelial cell type markers (goblet—MUC2; Mucin2, tuft—DCLK1: doublecortin-like kinase 1, enteroendocrine—CHGA: chromogranin A) (Figs 1B and EV1D and E). However, CC3 was co-localized in cells positive for Villin, a protein of enterocyte brush borders, both within the villus epithelium (dying cells) and in the gut lumen (dead cells) (Fig EV1F). The notion of enterocyte-specific cell death was further supported by increased goblet and tuft cell fractions over time, indicating enrichment of these cell types compared to the remaining enterocytes (Fig EV1G and H). Although enterocyte cell death occurred heterogeneously in response to TNF-α, the sensing of TNF-α ligand by TNF receptor (TNFR) appeared uniform in these cells. TNFR1 expression was observed on the basolateral membranes of all villus epithelial cells (Figs 1C and EV1I) and was reduced in all cells at 1 h post-TNF-α administration. Extrusion of cells does not necessarily occur at the villus tips. Expression of TNFR1 at basolateral cell membranes of villus epithelial cells in (C) vehicle-treated tissues and (C’) loss of the receptor following TNF-α exposure. Figure 1. The DISSECT disaggregation procedure enables cytometric analysis to investigate heterogeneous TNF-α signaling responses in tissue. A Representative immunofluorescence imaging (IF) of cells undergoing heterogeneous, position-independent TNF-α-induced apoptosis in the villus as marked by CC3. B Non-overlapping localization between MUC2 (marking goblet cells) and CC3 at 1 h post-TNF-α administration. Extrusion of cells does not necessarily occur at the villus tips. C Expression of TNFR1 at basolateral cell membranes of villus epithelial cells in (C) vehicle-treated tissues and (C’) loss of the receptor following TNF-α exposure. D Schematic of the DISSECT procedure for preserving native epithelial signaling during single-cell isolation. Detergent solution is 1% saponin, 0.05% Triton X-100, 0.01% SDS.
epithelium (Fig EV1F), supporting previous reports of its minimal role in the villus compartment (Lau et al., 2011). Since TNF-α sensing appeared uniform in all villus epithelial cells, we surmise that heterogeneous TNF-α responses in enterocytes may depend upon differences in signal transduction downstream of receptor binding.

A major challenge for exploring signaling heterogeneity in epithelial tissues with cytometry-based methods is the requirement of single-cell suspensions. Previous attempts to probe epithelial signaling involved stimulation experiments on single epithelial cells that were already dissociated and outside of their native contexts (Lin et al., 2010). To study single-cell signaling in the in situ epithelial context, we first tested whether a single-cell disaggregation procedure used routinely for flow sorting epithelial cells (Magness et al., 2013) (which we referred to as “the conventional method”) preserves native signaling in single-cell suspensions. Briefly, the intestinal epithelium was mechanically retrieved after the intestine was acquired, washed, and longitudinally opened. The epithelium was then digested enzymatically (~10 min) and then filtered into a single-cell suspension. A standard fix-perm procedure for phospho-flow was then performed, followed by phospho-specific antibody staining and cytometry analysis (Krutzik et al., 2004). Quantitative immunoblotting analysis on fresh intestinal tissue lysates was used as a positive control. A head-to-head assessment using the same antibodies was performed by comparing median intensities from single-cell flow cytometric data to integrated intensities of bands from immunoblots, which reflect cell averages in tissue lysates. This comparison demonstrated that signal transduction induced by TNF-α was not maintained with the conventional disaggregation method, as assessed by both early (p-ERK1/2, p-C-JUN) and late (p-STAT3) signals (Fig EV2). A previous study suggested that signaling perturbations from trypsinic disaggregation can be eliminated by performing digestion in live cells at low temperatures (Abrahamsen & Lorenz, 2013). We tested the effect of enzymatic digestion by performing low-yield single-cell disaggregation on live tissues (Appendix Fig S1A), using gentle mechanical dissociation without any enzymes; however, signal transduction was still not preserved (Appendix Fig S1B). Disaggregation of an intact epithelium into single cells perturbs components of epithelial cell junctions that play many roles in signaling modulation. Such disruption in live tissue may dynamically alter signaling pathways and produce experimental artifacts.

To adapt single-cell signaling analysis for epithelial tissues, we developed DISSECT, a single-cell dissociation method that preserves intact signaling. After the epithelium was retrieved from the animal, it was immediately fixed to maintain cellular signaling states. The epithelium was then subjected to acetone permeabilization and anti-body staining and cytometry analysis (Fig EV3). Disaggregation of an intact epithelium into single cells was readily detected and increased 2 h after TNF-α stimulation, similar to what we observed previously for immune cell types (Appendix Fig S5A) (Lau et al., 2012). Specifically, we detected different populations of villus epithelial cells using goblet (CLCA1, calcium-activated chloride channel regulator 1), enteroendocrine (CHGA), and tuft (DCLK1) cell markers (Fig 2B). The proportion of differentiated cells detected in the intestine matched previous reports, with goblet cells at ~10% and increasing from the duodenum to the ileum (Rojanapo et al., 1980; Wright & Alison, 1984; Paulus et al., 1980; Wright & Alison, 1984; Paulus et al., 1980; Wright & Alison, 1984).

Figure 2. DISSECT preserves phospho-protein signaling and cell-identity marker expression.

A  IF of intact intestinal tissues compared to single cells prepared with DISSECT, stained for p-C-JUN early and p-STAT3 late in response to TNF-α. (A′) Quantification of these single-cell preparations by flow cytometry, with median values matching previous lysate-based results (Lau et al., 2011).

B Flow cytometric quantification of epithelial cell identities following DISSECT, as determined by CLCA1—goblet, CHGA—enteroendocrine, and DCLK1—tuft cells at steady state. (B′) Representative IF images of cell types performed on the same tissue used in flow cytometry. (B′) IF image quantification of these cell types. Error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM) from n = 8 fields of view. **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001, unpaired t-test was used to determine significance.
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et al, 1993; Van der Flier & Clevers, 2009; Imajo et al, 2014), endo-
endocrine cells at ~1% (Cheng & Leblond, 1974; Gunawardene 
et al, 2011), and tuft cells at ~1% (Gerbe et al, 2012). Imaging-
based quantification of the same tissues also confirmed these results 
(Fig 2B’ and B”). We further tested whether our method can detect 
crypt stem cells using the cell surface marker LRIG1 (Appendix Fig 
SSB) (Powell et al, 2012). Isolation of colonic crypts followed by 
DISSECT and flow cytometry allowed for the identification and 
quantification of crypt base cells, which segregate away from Na/ 
ATPase⁺-differentiated cells (Fatehullah et al, 2013) (Appendix Fig 
SSC). The proportion of LRIG1⁺ cells matched what was previously 
reported (~30% in the colonic crypt) using the same antibody 
(Poulin et al, 2014). In addition, TNF-α-induced signaling can be 
detected in single cells isolated from colonic crypts (Appendix Fig 
SSD), as well as from colonic tumors (Appendix Fig SSE) using 
flow cytometry following DISSECT. To test the general applicability 
of DISSECT in other epithelial tissues, we induced proliferation in 
the collecting ducts of the kidney and hepatocytes in the liver using 
an unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO) model and a partial hepate-
tomy model, respectively. GFP⁺ cells from the Hoxb7-cre;mt/MG 
mouse labels cells of the kidney collecting duct, which can be identi-
ified by flow cytometry post-DISSECT (Fig EV4A and A’). UOU-
induced injury triggered proliferative responses by varying degrees 
in different mice, which correlated with p-RB proliferative signaling 
(Fig EV4B) (Giacinti & Giordano, 2006). Furthermore, after partial 
hepatectomy, BrdU-labeled hepatocytes (Fig EV4C and D) were 
enriched for p-RB signaling during the recovery phase (Fig EV4E). 
These results demonstrate DISSECT to be a valid, reliable approach 
for disaggregating a variety of heterogeneous epithelial tissues into 
single-cell suspensions for cytometry-based signaling analysis.

DISSECT preserves signal transduction across a wide range of 
signaling pathways in epithelial tissues

We expect comparable quantitative approaches to have relatively 
comparable signal-to-noise detection. With regard to noise, we 
compared the standard deviation of signals generated from biologi-
cal replicates using different quantitative approaches. Results gener-
ated by DISSECT follows by flow cytometry matched with those 
obtained by lysate-based ELISA and quantitative immunofluores-
cence imaging, demonstrating that these assays pick up comparable 
levels of noise (Appendix Fig S6). With regard to signal, we 
performed rigorous, quantitative comparisons of TNF-α-induced 
signaling measurements between DISSECT-flow cytometry and two 
gold standard methods: quantitative immunofluorescence imaging 
(Fig 3) and quantitative immunoblotting (Appendix Fig S7). A 
summary of how we derived quantitative information from each of 
the three methods is documented in Appendix Fig S8. The same set 
of antibodies was used for all three methods to evaluate protein 
states, such as phosphorylation and cleavage, that act as direct 
surrogates of signaling pathway activation. Three cohorts of mice 
(30 samples) were used for each analysis, and tissues from each 
animal were split three ways for different types of analyses. Because 
lysate-based approaches assess the average of all cell types in whole 
tissue, our cytometry analyses were also performed in a bulk 
cell population manner to enable direct comparison between 
approaches. To sample a wide dynamic range, we leveraged tissues 
from the duodenum and ileum (which exhibit differential TNF-α 
signaling responses), as well as from different time points post-
TNF-α exposure to generate quantitative correlation analyses. Ten 
out of eleven protein analytes generated statistically significant 
correlations between DISSECT-flow quantification and imaging 
quantification (6 out of 6 with quantitative immunoblotting) (Fig 3, 
Appendix Fig S7). Combined correlation analyses using all protein 
analytes resulted in a highly significant correlation (P < 0.0001) 
between DISSECT-flow and imaging data, and between DISSECT-
flow and immunoblotting data. Pearson’s coefficients of comparing 
DISSECT-flow to imaging and immunoblotting were 0.72 and 0.81, 
respectively. Factors that contribute to the imperfect correlation 
include inherent experimental noise and differences in quantification 
between each of the methods, which will be discussed below.

Furthermore, for a truly unbiased analysis, we did not exclude obvi-
ous data outliers that affected the normalization procedure, which 
can skew relatively small datasets and can subsequently weaken the 
correlations. Nevertheless, our conservative approach for validation 
still generated highly significant (P < 0.0001) correlations. These 
results demonstrate the validity of DISSECT to preserve native 
signaling during single-cell disaggregation, and to generate single-
cell-level data, when aggregated as populations, detect similar 
signal-to-noise as gold standard population-based methods.

DISSECT application of CyTOF identifies a differentially signaling 
enterocyte subpopulation that is sensitized to TNF-α-induced 
cell death

A 21-analyte CyTOF panel of heavy-metal-labeled reagents specific 
for epithelial signaling was generated (Appendix Table S1). Twenty-
one-plex CyTOF analysis was performed on three cohorts of mice 
subjected to a time course of acute TNF-α exposure, giving rise to 
average early and late signaling results that matched with flow 
cytometry, imaging, and quantitative immunoblotting (Fig 4A). We 
used single-cell CyTOF data to first reaffirm TNF-α-induction of cell 
death strictly within the duodenal enterocyte population. Indeed, 
CC3 did not co-localize with other epithelial cell type-specific mark-
ers (CK18: cytokeratin 18—secretory subset, CLCA1—goblet, CHGA—
enteroendocrine, CD45—leukocytes) (Fig 4B and C compared to 
Fig EV1E). The few double-positive cells are not cell clusters 
(Appendix Fig S9). The fraction of differentiated cell types detected 
again matched published results (Cheng & Leblond, 1974; Rojanapo 
et al, 1980; Wright & Alison, 1984; Paulus et al, 1993; Van der Flier 
& Clevers, 2009; Gerbe et al, 2011; Gunawardene et al, 2011; Imajo 
et al, 2014), as well as flow and imaging data we obtained previ-
ously (Figs 2B and 4B). To identify subpopulations of enterocytes 
with distinct signaling activities indicative of cell death, we used 
t-SNE (t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding) to visualize 
multiplex single-cell data in two dimensions while maintaining 
dissimilarities between cells in multidimensional data space 
(Fig 4D, Dataset EV1) (Amir et al, 2013). We again focused on the 
1-h time point to characterize actively signaling cells undergoing cell 
death. t-SNE analysis allowed groupings of different functional cell 
types based on combinations of signaling and cell-identity markers. 
In addition, a distinct population of CC3⁺ enterocytes was identi-
fied. We used manual gating on t-SNE space to supervise a partial 
least squares discriminant (PLSDA) model to categorize enterocytes 
undergoing cell death against living enterocytes. Classification based 
on calibration signaling data in 2-latent variable PLSDA space to
predict CC3 expression resulted in an area (AUC) of 0.92 under the receiver of operating characteristic (ROC) curve, indicative of high sensitivity and specificity (Fig 4E). We then cross-validated our model by repeatedly withholding 10% of the data using random, venetian blind, and block selection. Our cross-validation model yielded similar prediction power (ROC AUC = 0.92) compared to our calibration model due to the high number of data points used for fitting a model with a relatively limited set of parameters, which dramatically lowers the prospects of overfitting. We used the discriminant coefficients ($\beta$) of our PLSDA model to select signaling features that were informative for classification. Using 10,000-fold permutation testing, we generated $\beta$-distributions around zero and determined the probability for obtaining our model coefficients. The four coefficients with the lowest $P$-values were p-P38, p-CREB, p-ERK, and CK20 (Fig 4F).

Another method for feature selection using Variable Importance in Projection (VIP) scores also identified the same four variables (Fig 4G). We overlaid these four variables onto t-SNE plots to determine their ability to predict CC3 expression (Fig 4H). While individual variables positively or negatively correlated with the CC3$^+$ population, they were incapable of clearly discerning this population from other cellular populations (Fig 4I). Linearly combining these four variables without scaling allowed for clear identification of CC3$^+$ enterocytes (Fig 4J), indicating that combinatory activities of multiple signaling pathways contribute to a “signaling code” that implicates cell death. More importantly, the same experimental and computational analysis applied to three different cohorts of mice selected the same set of four variables that identify CC3$^+$ enterocytes (Fig 5, Datasets EV2 and EV3). In addition, other $\beta$ coefficients besides the top four variables also followed the same trend of positive or negative correlation with CC3 in different mouse cohorts. These results indicate that DISSECT followed by CyTOF is a highly reproducible method to accurately characterize single-cell behavior using multi-pathway signaling parameters.

**Divergently responding enterocytes are neighbors within the intestinal epithelium**

Having a signaling fingerprint that classifies dying and non-dying enterocytes allows us to identify divergent signaling mechanisms that significantly affect intestinal physiology. Specifically, we chose to investigate divergent p-ERK signaling in the intestinal epithelium, which occurred in the surviving, but not in the dying, cell population. p-ERK activation in surviving enterocytes was also heterogeneous, which prompted us to envision spatial patterns of

---

**Figure 3. Quantitative comparison between single-cell cytometric data and IF data of phospho-protein signaling markers.**

Quantification of single cells prepared from the intestinal epithelium using DISSECT followed by flow cytometry (solid lines) was compared to quantification of the same tissue by IF imaging analysis (broken lines). The dynamics of activation for each protein signaling marker by TNF-α from the duodenum and ileum were captured throughout a time course post-TNF-α exposure (left column). Quantitative data from different time points and/or different regions were used to generate a range of variation for correlation analysis between DISSECT-flow and IF for each signaling marker (right column). Error bars represent SEM from $n = 3$ animals. A total of $n = 30$ samples were used for each correlation. Data scales are Z-score values derived from mean centering and variance scaling of each time course experiment (see Appendix Fig S8). ns, not significant ($P > 0.05$), *$P \leq 0.05$, **$P \leq 0.01$, ***$P \leq 0.001$, ****$P \leq 0.0001$.
p-ERK activity that conferred survival. Whole-mount imaging of whole villus at 1 h post-TNF-α exposure revealed a “flower petal” ring-like pattern of epithelial p-ERK signaling, with five or six p-ERK-positive cells surrounding a p-ERK-negative area (Figs 6A and EV5A, yellow arrows). Co-staining with CC3 revealed that in many cases, the dying CC3⁺ cells occupied the central area surrounded by p-ERK⁺ neighbors (Figs 6B and EV5B, yellow arrows). In other cases, the dying CC3⁺ cell has already been extruded from the epithelium, leaving an apoptotic rosette surrounded by p-ERK⁺ cells ostensibly undergoing contraction-dependent closure (red arrow).
Furthermore, the ratios of CC3⁺ dying cells and p-ERK⁺ enterocytes in three cohorts of mice were 1:4.56, 1:6.04, and 1:4.73, respectively, supporting that the immediate neighbors of the dying cell activated p-ERK signaling (Fig EV5C and D). Imaging of tissue sections also corroborated that dying cells were flanked by p-ERK⁺ cells (Fig EV5E), although the phenomenon was harder to visualize in two dimensions. We surmise that the dying cell signals to neighboring cells non-autonomously to activate a cell survival program, in order to prevent large swaths of contiguous epithelium from dying and to prevent unrecoverable barrier defects. Thus, we tested the effect of inhibiting p-ERK signaling using the allosteric MEK inhibitor PD0325901 (Fig EV5F). Inhibition of p-ERK signaling affected the latency of the cell survival program such that epithelial apoptosis occurred immediately following TNF-α exposure, which resulted in a higher number of dying cells in total (Fig 6C). Inhibition of P38 alone minimally affected TNF-α-induced apoptosis (Fig EV5G), but was able to partially normalize early apoptosis due to MEK inhibition (Fig 6C), consistent with P38’s context-dependent, pro-apoptotic role. To our knowledge, this is the first reported observation of this “flower petal” pattern of p-ERK activation in response to TNF-α-induced cell death in epithelial tissue. This new finding demonstrates the applicability of our single-cell signaling experimental platform, in conjunction with data analysis, to reveal novel, non-cell autonomous responses in complex heterogeneous epithelia.

**Discussion**

A long-standing challenge for the expansion of multi-parameter cytometric analyses of epithelial signaling is the disruption of native

---

**Figure 5. Analysis and modeling of 21-dimensional data over multiple biological replicates.**

A, B Analyses were performed as described in Fig 4D–J. The same set of features was statistically identified to drive classification of enterocytes undergoing apoptosis over independent experiments (Datasets EV2 and EV3).
Figure 6. p-ERK activated in cells neighboring the dying cell promotes survival.

A Whole villus imaging of p-ERK “flower petal” ring pattern surrounding a dying cell, as indicated by the yellow arrows.

B Example CC3+ cells surrounded directly by clusters of p-ERK+ neighbors (yellow arrows); an example of contraction-dependent closure by p-ERK+ cells after dying cell has been extruded (red arrow).

C Flow cytometry of CC3+ cells induced by TNF-α under conditions of control, MEK inhibition, and MEK and P38 inhibition. Quantified in C’ with error bars representing SEM from n = 3 animals. Unpaired t-test was used to determine statistical significance. **P ≤ 0.01.

D Model of cell death-dependent activation of survival signaling in neighboring cells. Direct neighbors to the dying cell are instructed to survive to prevent contiguous patches of cell death unrecoverable by simple contraction-dependent closure.
signaling during single-cell disaggregation. While techniques have been derived to detect epithelial structural proteins by single-cell cytometric approaches (Yamashita, 2007), activated signaling components have never been shown to be quantifiable. The DISSECT procedure precisely overcomes this limitation by preserving native signaling states in single epithelial cells. The quantitative yield of single cells recovered is demonstrated to be higher than that of conventional dissociation methods for cytometric applications. Application of multiplex single-cell analyses enables the investigation of tissue heterogeneity that is characterized at the functional level by protein signaling. Natural variation of single cells, if accurately quantified, can be leveraged to generate tens of thousands of data points for building highly powered mathematical models. Our approach can reproducibly generate quantitative results, as supported by repeatable, robust conclusions drawn from mathematical modeling over multiple independent experiments in different animals. Furthermore, DISSECT has wide applications for either fluorescent flow cytometry or mass cytometry, and has demonstrated effectiveness in a broad range of epithelial tissues for interrogating in situ signal transduction at single-cell resolution or in a cell type-specific fashion.

Our approach to interrogate single-cell signaling in epithelial tissues has several advantages over other single-cell assays. Common single-cell isolation approaches such as the Fluidigm C4 platform allow collection of only hundreds of cells, which limits the statistical power of downstream analyses (Trapnell et al., 2014). In situ approaches that require tissue sectioning result in inaccuracies in single-cell quantification, since it is very difficult to control how much of each cell is retained during tissue sectioning. Specifically for intestinal epithelial cells that have diameters of ~10–40 µm (depending on the axis measurement), 5-µm tissue sections result in partial analyses of cells that contribute to measurement noise. Multiplex imaging techniques, either iterative (Gerdes et al., 2013) or heavy-metal-based (Angelo et al., 2014; Giesen et al., 2014), are relatively low throughput and can take many hours of imaging for one sample, compared to 15 min per sample on the CyTOF. Arraying tissues on a slide can increase the throughput of imaging but at the expense of whole tissue sampling of large numbers of cells, since a small region of the tissue will be the focus of a particular array core. Similarly, sectioning can only provide a very localized representation of whole tissue unless comprehensive serial sectioning and analysis are performed, a proposal only practical for small scale studies. However, compared to in situ methods such as RNA in situ hybridization (Itzkovitz et al., 2011), disaggregation into single-cell suspensions eliminates all spatial context information. We can overcome this limitation by coupling cytometric analyses with imaging-based analyses such as MultiOmyx microscopy (Gerdes et al., 2013). Cell positions in cytometric analyses can then be cross-referenced to multiple markers characteristic of a cell’s location determined by imaging. These markers–cell location relationships can be used for building “geographical maps,” where independent cytometric data sets can be projected onto a spatial context.

Certain protein markers correlated much better than others when comparing the three experimental approaches. Partly, this is a reagent issue common in antibody-based detection assays, given that the access to a particular antigen is different under different denaturing and fixation conditions. Consequently, comparison between even the two traditional signaling analysis approaches, immunofluorescence imaging and immunoblotting, does not yield perfect correlation (Appendix Fig S10). Our cytometric approach uses a different procedure to expose antigens and is expected to exhibit some differences. Due to this reason, a specific advantage of our approach is its ability to detect a wider variety of antigens accessible to traditional immunohistochemistry. For example, the stem cell marker LRIG1 can only be detected in fresh or frozen tissues, but not in paraffin-embedded fixed tissues, but it is accessible to DISSECT-cytometry (Poulin et al., 2014).

Other sources of noise that can dampen the correlations include differences by which the average signal is quantified between the different methods (via median intensity in cytometry, the integrated intensity of an immunoblot band, and the mean pixel intensity in imaging). Because of our limitations in defining intestinal cell borders in a confident manner using conventional imaging, we chose a highly reliable, unbiased way to establish nuclear and cytoplasmic masks for measuring signals in those subcellular compartments (Lau et al., 2007). This method, although simple, gives repeatable results especially with manual input, but comes with the added caveat that nuclear signals are fully represented whereas cytoplasmic signals represent a sampling of the perinuclear region. This may explain the sole discord in p-P90Rsk quantification given that this signal exists solely in the cytoplasm. Furthermore, quantification of tissue section images relies on microscope/camera-dependent pixel intensities in slivers of partial cells that are 5 µm thick, whereas flow cytometry quantifies the integrated voltage pulse generated by whole fluorescent particles. These differences in data generation were further amplified by our normalization procedure that can be affected by obvious outliers. Given these conditions, the high significance resulting from our correlation analyses is a testament to the robustness of DISSECT for generating quantitative results.

We previously published a model that selected features of TNF-α-induced cell death in the murine small intestine using lysate-based ELISA (Lau et al., 2011). Data variation was generated by examining different regions of the gut, which exhibit differential responses, or by using genetic mutations that affect TNF-α sensitivity (Lau et al., 2012, 2013). Our current approach to leverage natural variation in the same tissue can more accurately identify direct effectors of cellular behavior, since analyses of drastically different experimental contexts tend to select for secondary correlates. For instance, the duodenum and ileum are markedly distinct tissues (Bates et al., 2002), and features selected by modeling these variations may exaggerate the inherent differences between the tissues rather than actual modulators of TNF-α responses.

Our analysis identified that combinations of p-P38 and p-ERK MAP kinase pathway activities are critical determinants of TNF-α-induced cell death in the intestinal epithelium. A large body of literature over the past two decades has described P38 and ERK activation as responses to TNF-α-induced inflammatory stress in epithelial cells (Bian et al., 2001; Song et al., 2003; Jijon et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2005; Ho et al., 2008; Saez-Rodriguez et al., 2009). In these bulk cell studies, p-P38 and p-ERK are implied to be co-regulated in the same cells as stress signals. P38 activation has been shown to be required for cell death downstream of TNF-α in a variety of contexts (Yu et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015); co-activation of ERK by TNF-α has also been shown to be required (Qi et al., 2014). Our previous analyses of bulk lysate data also identified the MEK-ERK pathway to be
positively correlated with cell death (Lau et al., 2011). However, our current results demonstrate that ERK is not activated in the relatively small fraction of dying enterocytes, but is activated heterogeneously in the remaining cells as a secondary response, resulting in its overall upregulation at a whole tissue level. Activation of p-ERK occurs in direct neighbors surrounding the dying cell, forming a “flower petal” ring-like pattern. We propose that dying cells send signals to neighboring cells to activate a survival program, in order to prevent large swaths of neighboring cells from dying. Previous studies have demonstrated that an epithelial cell in the apoptotic process can signal to its neighbors to initiate purse-string contraction, generating enough force for cell extrusion (Rosenblatt et al., 2001; Monier et al., 2015). We reason that when more than one contiguous cell undergoes apoptosis, contraction-dependent wound closure by surrounding cells becomes suboptimal, which leads to loss of epithelial integrity. Thus, epithelia have evolved intercellular communication programs for cells neighboring dying cells to survive. The molecular mechanisms responsible for this novel survival phenomenon remain to be identified, but may involve ATP released from the apoptotic cell, secretion of RTK ligands, or secondary responses downstream of cytoskeleton-dependent contraction (Kawamura et al., 2003; Boyd-Tressler et al., 2014; Patel et al., 2015; Xing et al., 2015). Consequently, inhibiting this survival mechanism both accelerated and increased TNF-α-induced cell death. Because of the complex in vivo regulation of intact epithelium, there are most likely other redundant, MEK-independent mechanisms in place to prevent wholesale cell death. Our study is distinct from other epithelial wound healing studies that focus on local cell targeting (e.g. by laser ablation). Instead, divergent outcomes arise from epithelial cells exposed to the same apoptotic stimulus. This phenomena is also different from compensatory proliferation (Li et al., 2010), as cell death-driven proliferation in our system takes place in the crypt proliferative zone and not in the villus (Lau et al., 2011). Our novel epithelial cell-based CyTOF analysis allows us to identify heterogeneous signaling responses at the individual cell level with novel intercellular implications. Our epithelial application of cytometry-based technologies is useful for high-resolution dissection of heterogeneous responses in a complex tissue, and will have broad applicability to disease modeling, therapeutic design, and regenerative medicine.

Materials and Methods

Tissue collection

Female C57BL6/J mice (Jackson Laboratory) were administered 0.4 mg/kg TNF-α in PBS via retro-orbital injection and sacrificed at time points ranging from 30 min to 4 h post-injection. Control mice were injected with PBS and sacrificed at 30 min post-injection. These mice (and their microbiomes) were acclimatized to Vanderbilt’s mouse facility for at least 4 weeks. Upon sacrifice, 5-cm sections of duodenum and ileum were removed, washed using PBS, and spread longitudinally onto Whatman paper. Epithelial tissue was then separated from the muscle layers using a razor blade and transferred to a fixative solution of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Affymetrix) with protease (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma). After 30-min fixation at room temperature, tissues were washed twice in PBS and re-suspended in a solution of 1% BSA and 0.005% sodium azide in PBS for storage of up to 2 months. The number of animals used to generate data for each experimental time point/condition is indicated in the figure legends, but most were of n = 3.

As required, tissues from the same mice were either directly lysed in lysis (RIPA) buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors for immunoblotting analysis, or fixed in 4% PFA overnight for histological and imaging analysis. Histological samples were transferred to 70% ethanol and embedded in paraffin. Colonic tumors were collected from a Lrig1Cre/+/Apo8/+/ tumor model (Powell et al., 2012), and they were cut into smaller fragments prior to processing. Colonic crypts were isolated from a standard EDTA chelation protocol (Sato et al., 2011).

Partial hepatectomy

All surgeries were performed according to the National Institutes of Health guidelines for the humane treatment of laboratory animals according to the “Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals” (NIH publication 86–23) and with approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Vanderbilt University Medical School. Prior to hepatectomy or for sham operations, mice were anesthetized with 60 mg/kg ketamine (Hospira) and 7 mg/kg xylazine (Phoenix Pharmaceutics) and positioned supine. A transverse incision was made inferior to the xiphoid process, which was excised. The median and left lateral lobes were eviscerated and ligated resulting in 60% liver removal. About 5 mg/kg/day of recombinant human IGF-1 (Cell Sciences) dissolved in water was given continuously with an osmotic pump (DURECT). To quantitate dividing hepatocytes, mice received 1 mg intraperitoneal injection of 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine (BrdU; BD Pharmingen) 2 h before sacrifice. At tissue recovery, mice were anesthetized and weighed. Livers were excised, rinsed, blotted, and weighed. Sections were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Mortality after hepatectomy was < 5% and not associated with a particular genotype.

Unilateral ureteral obstruction

Unilateral ureteral obstruction was performed, as previously published (Gewin et al., 2010), on mice aged 8–12 weeks by exposing the right kidney through a flank incision and ligating the ureter with two sutures just distal to the renal pelvis.

Perfusion of fixative

Perfusion of animals was performed according to standard protocols (Gage et al., 2012) with 4% PFA with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Perfusion was considered successful upon observation of fixation tremors within 1 min of perfusion. 20 ml of perfusate was performed, which was then followed by dissection, tissue collection, and standard DISSECT procedure as described.

Declaration of approval for animal experiments

All animal experiments were performed under protocols approved by the Vanderbilt University Animal Care and Use Committee and in accordance with NIH guidelines.
Conventional disaggregation

Conventional disaggregation was performed on live epithelial tissue following the protocol by Magness et al. (2013). Disaggregation was also performed the same way without enzymatic digestion amidst a much lower yield of single cells. Single cells were then immediately fixed and permeabilized using a standard phospho-flow protocol (Krutzik et al., 2004).

DISSECT disaggregation

Epithelial tissues were re-suspended in −20°C acetone, then immediately pelleted and re-suspended in a detergent solution (1% saponin, 0.05% Triton X-100, 0.01% SDS) and agitated at room temperature for 30 min. Tissues were then blocked (2.5% donkey serum in PBS) at room temperature for 15 min before incubation with primary antibody. Samples were incubated in primary antibodies overnight at room temperature, and in secondary antibodies if needed for 1 h at room temperature. Upon completion of antibody incubation, tissues were re-fixed in 4% PFA (Affymetrix). Disaggregation was then carried out with collagenase type I (Calbiotech) and dispase (Life Technologies) both at 1 mg/ml in PBS, and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Tissues were then gently and mechanically dissociated until most of the tissue had disaggregated into a cloudy solution. Samples were then washed and incubated for 5–10 min in nucleic acid intercalator (Hoechst/Iridium) to label the DNA and then filtered with a 45 μM mesh for cytometry (flow cytometry or CyTOF) analyses.

Cytometry analyses

For both flow cytometry and CyTOF, cells were initially gated from debris using DNA content (Hoechst/Iridium). This was followed by size gating to eliminate cell clusters to obtain mostly cells with 2n/4n DNA content (Appendix Fig S2). Single cells were then analyzed for intensities of antibody conjugates. Flow cytometry was performed on a BD LSRII with five lasers, and CyTOF was performed on a Fluidigm-DVS CyTOF I instrument.

Quantitative immunoblotting

Immunoblotting was performed using standard procedures and quantified using a LICOR Odyssey Fc imaging system. The top and bottom of the bounding box were used for background subtraction. Integrated intensity of immunoblot bands were taken after background subtraction (Appendix Fig S8).

Quantitative immunofluorescence imaging

Mouse intestinal tissues were processed using standard immunohistochemical techniques and sectioned at 5 μm. Quantitative imaging was performed on an Olympus IX-81 inverted fluorescence microscope with a robotic stage for automated imaging of multiple fields of view. All images were first manually processed to eliminate stromal components. Automated image processing was then performed using custom ImageJ scripts. For determining cell fractions, masks were generated from the marker of interest and then quantified. Quantification was then normalized to the mask generated by nuclear staining with Hoechst. For co-localization, the intersecting mask from two sets of masks was obtained and then quantified as above. For signaling quantification, a nuclear mask was made from the nuclear channel and a 2-pixel-thick cytoplasmic mask was made five pixels away from the nuclear mask. The target signal channel was quantified within the nuclear or cytoplasmic mask depending on whether the signal was nuclear or cytoplasmic. The mean pixel intensity of the target signal was used for comparing between methods (Appendix Fig S8). A single time course was stained, imaged, and quantified per slide, and multiple technical replicates from serial section were performed. Villi lengths were measured by the pixel lengths from tips of villi to bases of crypts at 2× magnification.

Data analysis

t-SNE analysis was performed using the viSNE implementation on Cytobank.org (Amir et al., 2013). Manual gating on t-SNE was performed by drawing contour lines based on density with 10% of the least dense data points excluded from the contours. The contours of the remaining cells represented cell populations grouped by their densities. PLSDA modeling, permutation testing, and feature selection were performed on MATLAB (Mathworks). Unpaired t-tests were performed using Prism (Graphpad). Datasets EV1, EV2 and EV3 can be accessed, respectively, at:
https://www.cytobank.org/cytobank/experiments/48137
https://www.cytobank.org/cytobank/experiments/48139
https://www.cytobank.org/cytobank/experiments/48138

Antibody reagents

See Appendix Table S1. For optimization of antibodies for immunofluorescence imaging, a detailed procedure is documented in the Antibody Validation section in Gerdes et al. (2013). Briefly, the procedure includes an antibody titration to determine the concentration range for optimal signal-to-noise detection. Further specificity testing included, but not limited to, immunogen peptide blocking, phosphatase treatment of samples to verify phosphospecificity, and visual inspection of expected localization patterns. The same antibody reagents were used across all experimental platforms. For optimization of antibodies for DISSECT-cytometry, similar titration studies were performed. Because the DISSECT procedure entails dissociation after staining, the localization of staining (crypt-villus/subcellular localization) was verified.

Expanded View for this article is available online.
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