

ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY





Re-Innervation of the Neuromuscular Junction

> D. Nicole Deal, MD Associate Professor Hand Division Department of Orthopaedic Surgery May 9th 2015









V The Neuromuscular Junction





VERSITY

























University of Virginia















1

University of Virginia





 Goal: Develop a nanofiber matrix to reconnect lacerated or avulsed nerves to skeletal muscle and prevent involution of the neuromuscular junction while recovery occurs





Tissue Engineering a Neuromuscular Interface





University of Virginia



Tissue Engineering a Neuromuscular Interface





















Figure 1. Modified rabbit experiment: the peroneal nerve was divided artificially into 4 or 5 branches implanted as wide as possible to reinnervate the maximum number of muscular fibers.



Figure 10. (A) A direct muscular neurotization of the deltoid muscle by means of 2 grafts connected with the proximal stump of the axillary nerve. (B) Same case, the artificial division of the grafts have been introduced into the muscle.



Orthopaedic Surgery

University of Virginia



BM-basal media



DM-dorsomorphin BMP pathway inhibitor



SB-SB431542 TGFβ pathway inhibitor



DM+SB

hADSCs express neurite outgrowths with inhibition of TGFβ and BMP pathways-Length of neurite outgrowths significantly longer in DM+SB group

University of Virginia







hADSCs express neuron specific enolase upon dual inhibition of TGFB and BMP inhibition













Inhibition of TGFβ and BMP signaling pathways activates p38 in hADSCs. A dynamic balance between activation of p38 and other kinases is known to control neuronal differentiation of stem cells

University of Virginia







hADSCs can differentiate into Schwann cell phenotype when treated with NIM

University of Virginia Orthopaedic Surgery







A-D: DAPI/ E-H anti GAP 43 antibody indicating axon growth cone specific GAP 43

W.

University of Virginia



UNIVERSITY VIRGINIA

Overview of milestones:

- <u>Milestone 1 (q1)</u>: Assess the ability of single compound/nanoscaffold formulations to preventdegeneration, promote regrowth, or support survival of injured neurons.
- <u>Milestone 2 (q2)</u>: Assess the ability of combination of the most effective compounds from milestone 1 to prevent degeneration, promote regrowth, **and** support survival of injured neurons.
- <u>Milestone 3 (q3)</u>: Test candidate nanoscaffold formulations in a preclinical rat nerve injury model.
- <u>Milestone 4 (q4)</u>: Move toward testing promising candidates in a clinical setting.



 Inhibition of Wallerian degeneration using proprietary compound discovered by Dr. Chris Deppman in the Biology Department













Simple starting materials (common molecules found in all cells).
No assembly required! (self-assembly)
Flexible (multiple) payloads
Concentration effect



Approach: Succeeding where others have failed



Rapidly deliverable porous scaffold to cross scarred tissue





- Rapidly deliverable porous scaffold to cross scarred tissue-self assembling peptide
- Established growth factors and small molecules to promote axon regrowth and maintain cell viability
- Proprietary compound(s) that prevent axon degeneration







•Biocompatible – minimal immune response; biodegradable



Figure 2: Schematic of Preclinical Strategy for Nerve Repair

University of Virginia 🕴 Orthopaedic Surgery





- Allograft digital nerves
- 15 Centers
- 10 patients per site
- Common or proper digital nerves
- 15-30 mm defects
- Beginning in July (hopefully)...









Acellular Allograft

- Abundant supply, no donor site morbidity, decreased surgical time
- Processing advances
 - Removal of debris (chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans)
 - Remove Schwann cells
 - Preserve architecture
 - Immunotolerant



X.W





• Animal data clearly better than conduits

- Almost as good as autograft
- Up to 3-5cm (87% M3 or better)
- Schwann cell migration is length limiting obstacle
- Human controlled clinical trial data needed (multicenter prospective trial comparing allograft vs collagen conduit beginning soon)









Rat Model comparison of autograft, allograft and collagen conduit

At 12 weeks, the mean muscle force as compared with that on the contralateral (control) side:

- autograft group $45.2\% \pm 15.0\%$
- allograft group $43.4\% \pm 18.0\%$
- collagen group $7.0\% \pm 9.2\%$

Giusti G, Willems WF, Kremer T, Friedrich PF, Bishop AT, Shin AY. Return of motor function after segmental nerve loss in a rat model: comparison of autogenous nerve graft, collagen conduit, and processed allograft (AxoGen). J. Bone Joint Surg. Am., 2012; 94: 410-7.

University of Virginia





Retrospective Chart Review of the RANGER database (allograft database) upper extremity nerve grafts 56 subjects/ 71 nerves

Meaningful recovery (S3/M3) was reported in:

- 31 of 35 digital nerve repairs (89%)
- 6 of 8 median nerve repairs (75%),
- 2 of 3 ulnar nerve repairs (67%)
- For 100% of all nerve gaps under 15mm

Cho MS, Rinker BD, Weber RV, Chao JD, Ingari JV, Brooks D, Buncke GM. Functional outcome following nerve repair in the upper extremity using processed nerve allograft. J. Hand Surg. Am., 2012;37: 2340-9.

University of Virginia 🕴 Orthopaedic Surgery





- 14 patients with 18 digital nerve lacerations
- Defect averaged 11mm (5-30mm)
- Graded using the Taras scale (incorporates static and moving 2 pt discrimination)
 - Excellent Results: 7 (39%)
 - Good Results: 8 (44%)
 - Fair Results: 3 (17%)
 - Poor results: 0
- Small sample size but promising

<u>J Hand Surg Am.</u> 2013 Oct;38(10):1965-71. Allograft reconstruction for digital nerve loss. <u>Taras JS</u>¹, <u>Amin N</u>, <u>Patel N</u>, <u>McCabe LA</u>.





Questions



