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Applies to:
Department chairs and/or center directors ("unit heads") who have research laboratory space assigned to their unit.

Reason for Policy:
This policy supports unit heads and investigators in the efficient management of research laboratory space. It provides productivity standards based on the quality of research space, makes allowances for early career faculty to develop their research program before being subject to the policy, and makes provisions for assigning additional space or reducing existing assignments.

Definition of Terms:
Criteria for Graded Space: Please see Appendix I.

Laboratory and Computation Research Space Committee (LCRSC): A committee appointed by and advisory to the Senior Associate Dean for Research.

Productivity standard: The average of the prior three fiscal years’ total expenditures from extramural research funding. Eligible expenditures from extramural research funding:

- Includes the sum of direct costs and F&A earned that applies only to web lab and computational activity;
- Includes expenditures derived from philanthropic donations to the University (1) where the terms of the gift allow its utilization for research and (2) whose use can be identified within Oracle as applying to research activities; and
- Excludes pass-throughs and sub-contracts to other institutions.
Research Investigator (RI): A SOM faculty member or other scientist (excluding trainees) who has University permission to submit proposals as a PI for external funding.

Research space: “wet” or “dry” research laboratory space. “Dry” research space includes any space used to house research personnel or computational equipment, including computers, servers or similar equipment whose main function and use is for the performance of research. For Research Investigators, this includes the sum of assigned lab service, office, and a portion of shared meeting space. For departments and centers, this includes the space assigned to RIs within the unit’s portfolio plus the unit’s common spaces.

Policy Statement:
All units are expected to maintain the minimum space use productivity standards for assigned research space. The baselines for research productivity are:

$500/SF of research space for “A” grade space
$400/SF of research space for “B” grade space
$300/SF for “C” grade research space.

A team comprised of an RI and six additional FTEs will be assigned approximately 1,270 SF of ‘A’ grade research space provided that the team meets the productivity standards for the class of that space. Additional space within a unit’s portfolio may be assigned at the discretion of the unit head.

Units that meet the productivity threshold may request additional research space. The unit head may request that the Laboratory and Computational Research Space Committee recommend additional space.

Space allocations are not permanent. Space allocations will reflect research productivity and/or recruitment plans. Space assignments shall reflect the need for flexibility to accommodate changes required by an RI. Unused space may be reassigned temporarily on an emergency basis.

Unit heads are expected to demonstrate responsible stewardship in the use of allocated space. Sub-allocations by unit heads are subject to the terms of this policy. Sub-allocations must be documented and approved by the dean or designee (“dean”).

Laboratory utilization will be verified by the annual survey conducted by the SOM Office of Capital and Facilities Planning. Unit heads will be notified of space in their unit that the survey identified as vacant.

When the annual space survey shows that research space productivity is not within 20% of productivity standard, the unit head has two months to submit a plan to the LCRSC for
improving utilization. The committee will review the plan and make its recommendation to the dean.

Six months after laboratory space has become vacant or is anticipated to become vacant, the unit head must submit plans outlining future use of the space to the LCRSC. The LCRSC will make a recommendation on whether to approve the plan.

While unit heads are responsible for ensuring that the productivity standards are met, they are also responsible for ensuring that early career investigators are supported as follows:

- The productivity standard will be suspended for tenure-eligible (TE) Academic Investigators (AI) in advance of promotion, regardless of the faculty member’s success in securing research funding. Upon promotion to Associate Professor, an AI’s space assignment is subject to the terms of this policy.

- A TE Clinical Investigator (CI) is assigned research space within the mentor’s space during the period that the CI is being mentored. Any career development awards the CI receives and the CI’s FTE will be included in the mentor’s space calculation. After the career development period has ended with the award of tenure, the CI will be assigned independent research space that is governed by this policy.

- The research space assigned to Clinical Faculty is subject to this policy.

- The research space assigned to Research Faculty (RF), regardless of rank, is subject to this policy.

- An RF who is a mentee will be assigned space in the mentor’s lab as negotiated by the mentor and the unit head. When the RF is PI on an external research award, the mentor will dedicate a specific percentage of the mentor’s assigned space to the RF’s project as required by the granting agency. The mentor is held to the productivity standard with the mentee’s external research support, FTE, and any support staff on the mentee’s grant excluded from the calculation of the mentor’s productivity. If the mentor leaves the University and the mentee remains, the institution will make reasonable effort to honor the space commitment associated with the mentee’s award.

- Research support faculty working within or directing a core facility are not subject to this policy.
The LCRSC will periodically review institutional space metrics and this policy and will recommend changes as necessary.

**Revision history:** Implemented April 4, 2004; updated 2/22/07, 2/17/10, 11/12/13, 1/26/18
## Appendix I: Research Building Performance Criteria

### Technical and Functional Facility Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical A Grade</th>
<th>Technical B Grade</th>
<th>Technical C Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility age less than 15 years</td>
<td>Facility age 15-25 years</td>
<td>Facility age 25-40+ years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little or no apparent deferred maintenance</td>
<td>Some apparent deferred maintenance</td>
<td>Significant apparent deferred maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No significant building code compliance concerns</td>
<td>Possible building code compliance concerns (i.e. IBC,</td>
<td>Building code compliance concerns (i.e. IBC, NFPA, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i.e. IBC, NFPA, etc.)</td>
<td>(i.e. IBC, NFPA, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finishes, equipment, and furnishings in good condition</td>
<td>Finishes, equipment, and furnishings in fair conditions</td>
<td>Finishes, equipment, and furnishings in poor conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional 1 Grade</th>
<th>Functional 2 Grade</th>
<th>Functional 3 Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mov/adj bench layout suited to research purpose,</td>
<td>Non-adj, efficient bench layout suited to research</td>
<td>Non-adj, inefficient fixed bench layout; poor facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>research purpose, excellent facility “bones”; hi eff</td>
<td>purpose, good facility “bones” fl2fl ht &amp; col bay</td>
<td>“bones”; low eff floor plate; lab block broken up by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>floor plate; lab block clear of mech shafts</td>
<td>grid size; mod eff floor plate, lab block clr of</td>
<td>mech shafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mech shafts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood multi-lab organization, non-cellular,</td>
<td>Regular shaped &amp; right-sized cellular labs, PI</td>
<td>Odd-shaped, under or over-sized cellular labs, faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF assignment/utilization easily adjustable, PI off</td>
<td>office directly connected to lab; SF assignment/</td>
<td>offices embedded inside labs; occupants widely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outside labs; FTE office outside lab; research sup</td>
<td>utilization reasonably adjustable; FTE office</td>
<td>spread out; difficult to increase occupant density;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>separate from occupied lab</td>
<td>inside lab</td>
<td>FTE office in lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate research support SF outside primary labs;</td>
<td>Adequate research support SF outside primary lab;</td>
<td>Undersized research support SF embedded within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proximate to shared core lab resource(s)</td>
<td>proximate to shared core lab resource(s)</td>
<td>cellular labs; distant from shared core lab resource(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great natural light distribution as appropriate @ lab,</td>
<td>Reasonable natural light distribution as appropriate @</td>
<td>Poor natural light access in PI Offices, FTE Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI &amp; FTE office; great lighting</td>
<td>lab, PI &amp; FTE office; good lighting</td>
<td>and/or Lab spaces; fair to poor lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
School of Medicine Space Grading

Glossary:

**Technical Grade** – Measures the physical condition of a building (A, B, and C).

**Functional Grade** – Measures a building’s ability to support the program, i.e. clinical, research, administrative (1, 2, and 3).

- **Green** – Very good condition, “A” Space
- **Yellow** – Fair condition, “B” Space
- **Red** – Poor Condition, “C” Space