INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

Numerous barriers to participation in the National Diabetes Prevention Program (National DPP) Lifestyle Change Program (LCP) and diabetes self-management education and support (DSMES) services have been identified.\textsuperscript{1-3} Technological advances, increased web connectivity, and innovative funding solutions have made telehealth a viable option for addressing some of those barriers.\textsuperscript{4-5} Telehealth is promising because:

- It holds the potential to reach more participants compared to traditional in-person programs. Telehealth technologies are less restricted by distance, geography, and time barriers, potentially creating greater accessibility for rural and underserved populations.\textsuperscript{6-9}
- Multiple service providers and independent vendors now offer the National DPP LCP and DSMES using a variety of telehealth technologies.
- Many potential participants have access to the internet or devices needed to enroll in a telehealth program.\textsuperscript{10}

Telehealth vs. Telemedicine

The Health Resources & Services Administration (HRSA) defines telehealth as “The use of electronic information and telecommunication technologies to support and promote long-distance clinical health care, patient and professional health-related education, public health, and health administration.”\textsuperscript{11} Telemedicine is a subset of telehealth that specifically involves a clinician providing medical services via telehealth technology.\textsuperscript{12} Thus, though the terms are often used interchangeably, telehealth is not the same as telemedicine.

Telehealth Technologies

A range of technologies that are covered in this document can be used to support telehealth delivery of programs and services. Technologies include text messaging, smartphone apps for mobile phones, websites and computers, standard and wireless telephones, live and asynchronous video virtual reality or artificial intelligence (AI), and combinations of multiple technologies thereof. Organizations can offer participants the opportunity to engage with instructors or coaches, health care providers, and other participants using the technologies shown above.

This document provides organizations with (1) steps for getting started with telehealth and (2) additional details about each of the technologies.
GET STARTED

There are various resources available to get your National DPP LCP or DSMES services off and running using telehealth technology. The California Telehealth Resource Center (CTRC) developed a Telehealth Program Developer Kit¹³ that incorporates telehealth best practices and is highly customizable. Some of the structure and guidance in this section is derived from the CTRC’s Telehealth Program Developer Kit. The stages associated with beginning and sustaining your program, as described in the developer kit, are outlined below with some additional details for consideration.

Assess Needs and Define Your Telehealth Program

1. **Assess Service Needs and the Environment**: Identify and document the need for a telehealth program and identify specific needs for the community or region of interest.

   - **Surveys or key informant interviews** with community coalitions, lifestyle coaches, and other stakeholders can help you better understand the community’s needs and what technologies may be the most appropriate for your target populations.

2. **Define the Program Model**: Define the National DPP LCP or DSMES services, population, and setting of your telehealth program. Also consider,

   - **Culturally relevant and tailored programming**. Specific strategies may include:
     - Working with coaches or instructors of the same ethnicity as program participants to support program delivery. If program participants prefer to speak in another language, bilingual coaches can provide appropriate support.
     - Tailoring educational materials to be culturally appropriate for program participants. For example, specific foods and recipes should be appropriate for and familiar to target populations.

   - **Needs of populations with lower-grade reading levels and limited math skills**.
     - Health coaches can help fill in any gaps in understanding, reinforce important principles, and tailor communications to individual participant needs.
     - Educational materials should be written at the reading level of the target population.

3. **Develop the Business Case**: Determine if there is a market for the proposed program and a mechanism to pay for it. This step is critical when planning for the long-term sustainability of your program once any initial funding or grants have run out. The section below provides additional guidance for understanding potential reimbursement pathways for your program. Then, follow the remaining steps for starting your telehealth program.
UNDERSTAND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND REIMBURSEMENT FOR TELEHEALTH

Reimbursement for in-person and telehealth delivery of the National DPP LCP and DSMES varies by specific payer (e.g., insurer or employer). However, opportunities for reimbursement for these programs are growing.

As of spring 2018, 39 states have telehealth parity laws that require private insurance companies to reimburse providers for care delivered remotely via telemedicine. However, care delivery often applies to specific conditions only and may not include lifestyle change programs or disease management even if there is coverage related to prediabetes or diabetes. Moreover, states have different laws regarding the specific telehealth technologies for which they will provide reimbursement.

Health plans will sometimes provide a telephone coach to provide disease management services, but this approach to disease management does not meet national standards for DSMES. Regardless of whether you plan to offer the National DPP LCP or DSMES services using telehealth technologies, recognition or accreditation for your organization is required for reimbursement by Medicare and may be required by other payers (see box at right).

Given this context, you will want to learn:

- Whether any state laws are applicable to the reimbursement of type 2 diabetes prevention or diabetes self-management education and support services via telehealth.
- Whether applicable state laws specify which telehealth technologies are reimbursable.

Three resources can help you learn more about telehealth laws and reimbursement policies in your state:

1. **The Center for Connected Health Policy’s (CCHP) website.** CCHP helps users stay informed about telehealth-related laws, regulations, and Medicaid programs. By visiting the CCHP website, you can:
   - Review CCHP’s comprehensive assessment and compendium of state telehealth laws and reimbursement policies.
   - Click on your state to learn about current private payer laws, if any, as well as any relevant Medicaid telehealth reimbursement laws and professional regulation or health and safety laws.

Medicare supports payments for DSMES services delivered using telehealth, but CDC-recognized organizations offering the National DPP LCP may only receive reimbursement for a limited number of virtual make-up sessions.

For the National DPP, CDC provides guidance on achieving program recognition through their Diabetes Prevention Recognition Program (DPRP).

DPRP standards allow for two potential delivery modes for the National DPP LCP other than in-person:

- **Online.** Yearlong LCP delivered 100 percent online for all participants; meaning, participants log into course sessions via a computer, laptop, tablet, or smartphone. Participants also must interact with lifestyle coaches at various times and by various communication methods, including online classes, emails, phone calls, or texts.
- **Distance Learning.** Yearlong LCP delivered 100 percent by trained lifestyle coaches via remote classroom or telehealth (i.e., conference call or video) where the lifestyle coach is present in one location and participants are calling in or videoconferencing from another location is considered distance learning.

For DSMES, the American Diabetes Association’s (ADA) Education Recognition Program and the American Association of Diabetes Educators’ (AADE) Diabetes Education Accreditation Program (DEAP) provide guidance for meeting requirements for recognition or accreditation, respectively.

Visit the Find Grants page on the HRSA website to see if there may be available grants to help your organization with startup costs associated with purchasing and setting up various telehealth technologies.
Explore other resources on the website including bill analysis; fact sheets; legislative and regulatory updates; research catalogues; reports, publications, and policy briefs; and relevant telehealth news and newsletters.

2. **Find your Telehealth Resource Center.** The National Consortium of Telehealth Resource Centers and affiliated regional telehealth resource centers provide assistance, education, and information to organizations and individuals who are actively providing or interested in providing remotely delivered health care. Regional telehealth resource centers may be able to help you understand whether and how state-specific laws might impact reimbursement for programs and services delivered via telehealth.

3. **CTRC Reimbursement Guide May 2019.** This document includes useful information about federal reimbursement pathways and other commercial reimbursement as of 2018. The information should be used in consultation with your billing specialist and other advisers to help you understand and initiate billing for telehealth services.

Once you have assessed the need for telehealth services, defined your program, developed the business case, and researched avenues for billing and reimbursement, you are ready to develop and implement your telehealth program.

**Develop and Plan Your Telehealth Program**

4. **Develop and Plan Your Program and Technology:** Use all the information collected in steps two and three to create a plan that details all the areas that require work during the implementation of your telehealth program. As part of your planning:

   - **Consider implications for the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).** HIPAA requirements are no more or less stringent for telehealth providers compared to in-person providers. Ensure that the technologies you choose are implemented so that they are HIPAA compliant. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services website contains some information and links to resources to help practitioners think about how using health information technology might impact HIPAA considerations.

**IDENTIFY TELEHEALTH TECHNOLOGIES THAT MEET YOUR NEEDS**

The next sections will explore infrastructure and staff needs for telehealth program implementation, covering each of the individual telehealth technologies introduced at the start of this document. These sections will also summarize available information about approaches to implementing each telehealth technology and offer implementation considerations. Organizations interested in implementing telehealth programs can use these overviews to consider what technologies fit best with their infrastructure and staff capacities.

These technology overviews are based on a review and synthesis of literature on telehealth. The literature reviewed focused on a variety of programs using telehealth technologies to promote healthy living and prevent or manage chronic disease. A small proportion of the literature focused on type 2 diabetes prevention or diabetes management programs. None of the articles reviewed focused specifically on DSMES services. However, the information drawn from the synthesis provides important considerations and lessons learned regardless of the specific type of program implemented. More information about the methods and approach of this review and synthesis can be found in Appendix A.
OVERVIEW
Text message-based telehealth programs, accessible by mobile phone, are often:

▪ Automatically scheduled.
▪ Tailored.
▪ Sometimes interactive, asking for specific responses.

ORGANIZATIONAL NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure needs</th>
<th>Staff needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phone(s) capable of sending and receiving text messages</td>
<td>Trained program staff to send tailored messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text message database to store messages that can be sent to participants</td>
<td>Programmers to create and run a text message database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PARTICIPANT NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

▪ Mobile phone capable of sending and receiving text messages
▪ Data plan to support sending and receiving text messages

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

▪ Text-based programs are attractive because mobile phones are low cost and widely available, making these types of programs readily scalable.
▪ Text messaging may be an especially effective method for enhancing participant enrollment. In one study, 100 percent of participants who initiated enrollment in a program via text message completed the two-step enrollment process, compared to 5.5 percent of those that enrolled via the website.25
▪ Text-based programs may increase self-efficacy for behavior change by providing performance feedback, encouragement, and praise.
▪ Text-based programs that include interactions with remote nurses or other trained coaches can contribute to a sense of increased social support.
▪ Text-based programs can encounter challenges with high attrition and low participation, paired with limited understanding of participants’ motivations for program enrollment. Consider methods to keep participants engaged, including the addition of new components and new content.

See Appendix B for a list of all studies of text message-based telehealth programs.

See Appendix C for more details about study designs, target populations, and types of outcomes for text message-based programs.
OVERVIEW

Smartphone app-based telehealth programs use smartphone apps for:

- **Self-monitoring** (with weight, nutrition, and physical activity trackers).
- **Education on lifestyle topics, such as nutrition and physical activity.** This can include interactive features such as video clips, reminders, games, and quizzes.

ORGANIZATIONAL NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

**Infrastructure needs**

- **Smartphone application development** (if app does not already exist)
- **Capability to communicate in real-time or asynchronously**
- **Capability to tailor program content** and to tailor the timing and frequency of communication with participants
- **Wireless capability** of smartphone app to send data to a website or database, allowing opportunities for tailored feedback to the participant

**Staff needs**

- **Staff trained to support program delivery** via the mobile app (e.g., a lifestyle coach for the National DPP LCP)
- **Staff trained to provide technical support** to participants for use of the mobile app
- **Personnel with expertise to develop the mobile app**, if creating a new app

PARTICIPANT NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- **Access to a smartphone**, for each participant, with the ability to download the relevant application
- **A wearable device** (e.g., pedometer, armband, personal digital assistant), if using these to track physical activity or other activity
- **Data plan** to support app use

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

- **Smartphone app-based programs that can be implemented using an existing app** will have fewer infrastructure and staff demands than a custom app.
- **Smartphone app-based programs that include interactions with remote nurses or other trained coaches** may contribute to a sense of increased social support.
- **Smartphone apps are not always compatible with all types of smartphones.** For example, some apps might work only with a subset of common brands of smartphones, while others can work with multiple types and brands of smartphones. Consider how compatibility limitations may affect reach of your target population.
- **Consider methods to keep participants engaged** to avoid high attrition and low participation rates reported for some programs. The addition of new components and new content may help increase engagement of participants.
- **Smartphone app-based programs may not work well with all target audiences.** For example, some older participants may feel limited in their ability to use specific mobile phone technologies for telehealth programs. They may also express concerns about feelings of intrusiveness of smartphone app-based programs. Limited comfort or insufficient internet access may lead participants to more slowly log their data, which can affect a program’s ability to develop tailored messaging.

See Appendix B for a list of all studies of smartphone app-based telehealth programs.

See Appendix C for more details about study designs, target populations, and types of outcomes for smartphone app-based programs.
OVERVIEW
Website-based telehealth programs include:

- Video or multimedia lessons with a variety of curricula.
- Online goal-setting and tracking tools (such as for nutrition, physical activity, and weight tracking) with visual summaries provided to participants.
- Social support and interactive features, such as online forums and moderated chats, blogs, online chatting, social media platforms, and additional options to interact with a virtual lifestyle coach.
- Virtual libraries with access to menu and recipe ideas, informational articles, and tips.

ORGANIZATIONAL NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure needs</th>
<th>Staff needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational materials and other resources provided on the website</td>
<td>Programmers to develop and maintain the website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servers to host the website or a website service used to create the website</td>
<td>Staff trained to support program delivery by providing program content for the website or moderating online forums and community groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capability for the website to be linked to wearable devices (e.g., a pedometer that shows step counts on the website)—for those programs with this need</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forum/message board capability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PARTICIPANT NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- Internet access for each participant (personal computer or smartphone)
- Secure access to the website or online social network
- Self-monitoring tools (e.g., online diary, scale, and pedometer)

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

- Website-based programs can be efficient, with automated features and minimal staff requirements, allowing organizations to deliver programs to large audiences at a relatively low cost.
- Website-based programs delivering the National DPP LCP have used theoretical frameworks to adapt the Prevent Type 2 Diabetes (PreventT2) curriculum to website-based delivery.
- Website-based programs with online communities may be important for their potential for social support among users. Online communities should be moderated to identify posts or comments that may decrease motivation.
- Website-based programs should keep websites user-friendly and not too text-dense. Dense content can make information difficult to digest. Work with skilled developers to make a user-friendly website or use simple templates.
- Website-based programs should consider methods to engage participants and create a sense of accountability. Examples include dynamic and interactive websites and media components, such as quizzes, a user forum, and notifications for content updates.
- Website-based programs may not work well for all target audiences, especially those with limited internet access.

See Appendix B for a list of all studies of website-based telehealth programs.

See Appendix C for more details about study designs, target populations, and types of outcomes for website-based programs.
OVERVIEW

Phone-based telehealth programs primarily:

- Use **15- to 30-minute phone calls**, usually delivered by trained coaches, health professionals, or educators.
- Focus on **one-on-one tailored calls** between an instructor and a participant to develop an action plan, reinforce healthy behavior concepts, set goals, problem-solve challenges, and promote accountability.

ORGANIZATIONAL NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

**Infrastructure needs**

- **Automated interactive voice response (IVR) system** that provides tailored responses to participants from a database of thousands of response options (optional: This can reduce or eliminate the need for trained staff to make calls to participants.)
- **Conference or group call capability** (for programs with group-based formats)

**Staff needs**

- **Trained clinical or program staff** to provide phone counseling.
- **Motivational interviewing training or experience**

PARTICIPANT NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- **Phone access** for each participant (landline or mobile)

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

- Phone-based programs are attractive because phones are **low cost and widely available**, making these programs **scalable**.
- Phone-based programs may work well **for those with limited health literacy, disabilities, or transportation or time barriers**.
- Phone-based programs often start with one in-person meeting to kick off the relationship between the instructor and participants before moving to phone-only communication.
- Some phone-based programs use automated messaging systems that deliver five-minute educational modules followed by Q&A or brief calls to promote participant adherence and provide participants with feedback on their progress. When combined with time with an instructor, these approaches may reduce provider time costs.
- **Phone-based program adaptations include development of scripts** to deliver the curriculum and to prompt discussion and inclusion of health professionals or health educators in calls.
- **Determine how to address potential scheduling conflicts**, especially for group calls. If too many scheduling conflicts emerge, delayed communication with participants could lead to reduced participation.
- Phone-based programs can experience high attrition and low participation rates. Thus, consider **methods to keep participants engaged**. For example, if the frequency of sessions decreases over the course of the program, this could affect group cohesion and commitment. The addition of new components and new content may help increase engagement of participants.
- **Phone-based programs may require a greater amount of trained clinical or program staff time** than other technologies. In two interventions using multiple technologies, phone calls had the highest staff time cost.

See Appendix B for a list of all **studies of phone-based telehealth programs**.

See Appendix C for more details about study designs, target populations, and types of outcomes for phone-based programs.
OVERVIEW
Video-based telehealth programs:

- Use various telehealth video conferencing platforms and software.
- Are delivered by trained staff ranging from lifestyle coaches to health care providers including pharmacists, nurses, dietitians, physicians, and diabetes educators.
- Are primarily offered via live video sessions (as opposed to recorded video).
- Primarily offer education sessions with a pre-set curriculum related to lifestyle change.

ORGANIZATIONAL NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Infrastructure needs

- Facility and space with technology to develop a video-based telehealth program
- Pre-recorded video content created by trained program or clinical staff (if using a pre-recorded video format)

Staff needs

- Clinical or non-clinical staff, depending on requirements (examples include diabetes educator, dietitian, and exercise physiologist) to serve as lifestyle coach and deliver the program via live or pre-recorded video sessions
- Site coordinator or technician to control equipment, connect with the provider, and help participants when needed (This role can also be filled by clinical staff with appropriate training.)

PARTICIPANT NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- Participant access to a DVD player (for recorded video) or internet (for live video).
- Self-monitoring tools for each participant to monitor physical activity, diet, and weight (e.g., pedometer, paper log book, scale).

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

- Video-based programs may be less expensive than on-site programs. Two studies28,29 that involved video interventions, specifically video conferencing, found that per-participant cost was lower for video conference compared to on-site participation.
- Video-based programs that can be viewed on television sets in the home may make it easier for participants to integrate a program into their daily routines, especially for those with limited computer or internet access. One program developed a 16-episode video series mirroring the initial four- to six-month “core” phase of the National DPP LCP. Episodes followed an entertainment (reality TV) format and focused on the experiences of six men and women with prediabetes.30
- Organizations offering video-based programs with a remote coach and participants gathering in one place should plan ahead to address logistics of room arrangements and space for exercise. Some programs, especially in rural and frontier areas, had difficulty finding appropriate exercise options and spaces for classes.
- Video-based programs may require a greater amount of trained clinical/program staff time than other technologies.

See Appendix B for a list of all studies of video-based telehealth programs.

See Appendix C for more details about study designs, target populations, and types of outcomes for video-based programs.
OVERVIEW
Virtual-based telehealth programs:

- Primarily use **Intelligent Tutoring System**, a web-based system using AI techniques to mimic one-on-one human tutoring (i.e., virtual coach avatar).
- Sometimes have the **capability of providing participants with different genders or ethnicities for their avatars**.

ORGANIZATIONAL NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

**Infrastructure needs**
- Web-based virtual reality program
- Capability to integrate wearable device data (e.g., step count) with the virtual reality program

**Staff needs**
- **Developers** who can work with and implement the technology

PARTICIPANT NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- **Access to a computer and internet** (If software must be installed, a personal computer is required.)
- **Software for the virtual reality program** installed on the participant’s computer (if needed)
- **Self-monitoring tools** for each participant, such as a device to measure physical activity (e.g., pedometer) and weight

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

- **Virtual-based programs with opportunities for personalized feedback** (e.g., use of AI to tailor messaging based on participant profile) and **avatars with diverse characteristics** (e.g., female or male, different ethnicities) may facilitate connections with participants.
- **Virtual-based programs reduce the need for trained coaches to deliver the program** but increase the need for developers who can work with and implement the technology.
- **Virtual-based programs may not work well for all target populations**. Some participants experience challenges working with the virtual coach or avatar.
- Virtual-based programs may not create a uniform and realistic experience for participants. It is important to **make many realistic response options available in conversation and to realistically model the appearance of foods, for instance, within these programs**.
- **Because virtual-based programs only emulate human interactions, the lack of real personal contact** may lead to greater attrition or reduced engagement. Organizations might consider combining virtual-based programs with more personalized contact.

See Appendix B for a list of all **studies of virtual-based telehealth programs**.

See Appendix C for more details about study designs, target populations, and types of outcomes for virtual-based programs.
Technology: Multiple Technologies

OVERVIEW
Multiple technology-based telehealth programs:

- Use two or more technologies to deliver their program.
- Primarily involve phone calls, mostly for counseling purposes, in combination with websites. Some programs used educational videos in combination with phone calls or automated interactive voice response telephone calls.

ORGANIZATIONAL NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- **Infrastructure needs**
  - See needs related to each of the independent technologies.

- **Staff needs**
  - See needs related to each of the independent technologies.

PARTICIPANT NEEDS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- See needs related to each of the independent technologies.

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

- For multiple technology-based programs, using older technologies (e.g., phone calls) in combination with newer ones (wearable devices) may help to increase the scalability of the intervention.
- For multiple technology-based programs, consider how using multiple technologies might complicate or simplify various aspects of the program, from both the coach’s and the participants’ perspectives.

See Appendix B for a list of all studies of multiple technology-based telehealth programs.

See Appendix C for more details about study designs, target populations, and types of outcomes for multiple technology-based programs.
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APPENDIX A: TELEHEALTH REVIEW AND SYNTHESIS METHODS

Background
The Division of Diabetes Translation (DDT), which sits within National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP) at CDC, worked with a contractor, Deloitte, to identify literature related to the use of telehealth technologies to implement diabetes management and type 2 diabetes prevention programs in the United States. Deloitte identified literature through two main strategies: a machine learning analysis to identify peer-reviewed literature and an environmental scan (e-scan) to assess the state of the art of telehealth-relevant programs and services.

Methods
A second contractor, ICF, developed research questions for a synthesis of the literature, focused on adapting telehealth technologies to different users, what types of logistical needs organizations should consider when implementing telehealth technologies, challenges and barriers that organizations have encountered in adopting telehealth technologies, approaches for successful implementation of telehealth technologies, and types of outcomes or purposes of using telehealth technologies in the delivery of programs and services.

The synthesis aimed to answer the research questions by: (1) conducting triage of the peer-reviewed publications identified by Deloitte, (2) abstracting relevant information from the peer-reviewed publications and the e-scan findings, and (3) summarizing key findings from the two sources of information.

Key Findings
ICF identified 77 articles eligible for full abstraction out of the 127 identified through the machine learning landscape analysis by Deloitte. The selected papers examined diabetes management and type 2 diabetes prevention programs as well as interventions that addressed related risk factors if they utilized telehealth technologies as a primary delivery mode. A total of 22 of the 72 original research studies examined interventions that specifically used telehealth technologies to deliver diabetes management or type 2 diabetes prevention programs. The remaining 50 of the 72 original research studies primarily examined weight loss interventions of a very similar nature compared to those focused on type 2 diabetes (i.e., despite having different primary outcomes, most addressed telehealth technologies to change health-related behaviors such as diet and physical activity). Five papers were reviews.

The identified literature examined the use of the following telehealth modalities: text messaging (n=4), mobile/interactive smartphone apps (n=6), websites/forums (n=17), video/multimedia (n=5), phone calls (n=25), virtual reality (n=3), and multiple technologies (n=17).
APPENDIX B: RESOURCES (ORGANIZED BY TECHNOLOGY)
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**Virtual**


**Multiple Technologies**


## APPENDIX C: TABLE WITH STUDY CHARACTERISTICS BY TELEHEALTH TECHNOLOGY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telehealth Technology</th>
<th>Study Design (NUM/DNM)</th>
<th>Target Population (NUM/DNM)</th>
<th>Types of Health/Behavior Outcomes</th>
<th>Types of Process/Implementation Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Text Messages (n=4)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional (1/4)</td>
<td>Urban (4/4)</td>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>Reach/enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-post design (1/4)</td>
<td>Overweight/obesity (3/4)</td>
<td>Behavior change (diet and physical activity)</td>
<td>Program completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retrospective (1/4)</td>
<td>Minority (1/4)</td>
<td>Behavioral (self-efficacy, health beliefs)</td>
<td>Utilization: frequency and length of participation, attendance, adherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial (RCT) (1/4)</td>
<td>Diverse population (2/4)</td>
<td>Predominantly female (2/4)</td>
<td>Program perception and satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slogan awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Health/Apps (n=5)</td>
<td>Qualitative (1/4)</td>
<td>Urban (4/4)</td>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>Motivators and barriers for engagement in a mobile health program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cross-sectional (1/4)</td>
<td>Overweight/obesity (3/4)</td>
<td>Behavior change (diet and physical activity)</td>
<td>Access and interest in a mobile health program (formative research)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCT (2/4)</td>
<td>Young adults (1/4)</td>
<td>Clinical outcomes (e.g., glycemic control, lipid profile, blood pressure)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diverse population (1/4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Predominantly minority (1/4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website (n=18)</td>
<td>Qualitative (1/17)</td>
<td>Urban (8/17)</td>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>Reach/enrollment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cross-sectional (2/17)</td>
<td>Rural (1/17)</td>
<td>Behavior change (diet and physical activity)</td>
<td>Program completion/engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-post design (5/17)</td>
<td>Urban and rural (1/17)</td>
<td>Stress and health-related quality of life</td>
<td>Web utilization: frequency and length of participation (e.g., # posts/comments, # log-ins, frequency of weight/behavior tracking); characteristics of web use (e.g., purpose, topics/resources of main interest/participation, use by population group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-randomized trial (1/17)</td>
<td>Overweight/obesity (7/17)</td>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>Web perception (e.g., expectations, usefulness, accessibility, ease of use/navigation, clarity, and satisfaction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCT (8/17)</td>
<td>Inactive (1/17)</td>
<td>Clinical outcomes (e.g., glycemic control, blood pressure)</td>
<td>Fidelity of implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prediabetes/diabetes (2/17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>College students (3/17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Military (2/17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nurses (1/17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnant (2/17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female/predominantly female (7/17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Predominantly male (7/17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 NUM = numerator, DNM = denominator
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telehealth Technology</th>
<th>Study Design (NUM/DNM)</th>
<th>Target Population (NUM/DNM)</th>
<th>Types of Health/ Behavior Outcomes</th>
<th>Types of Process/ Implementation Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phone (n=25)</strong></td>
<td>• Case study (1/25)</td>
<td>• Urban (7/25)</td>
<td>• Weight loss</td>
<td>• Enrollment, adherence, completion, cost, time (e.g., # call attempts, # calls completed, duration of calls)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cohort (1/25)</td>
<td>• Rural (2/25)</td>
<td>• Behavior change (diet and physical activity)</td>
<td>• Program feasibility, acceptability, program satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pre-post design (4/25)</td>
<td>• Urban and rural (3/25)</td>
<td>• Behavioral (skills, self-confidence)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Non-randomized trial (2/25)</td>
<td>• Overweight/obesity (18/25)</td>
<td>• Diabetes self-care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• RCT (17/25)</td>
<td>• Prediabetes/diabetes (3/25)</td>
<td>• Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Comorbidities/disability (3/25)</td>
<td>• Clinical outcomes (e.g., glycemic control, blood pressure, depression, physical function, self-reported health status, diabetes/prediabetes status, change in the Framingham Risk Score)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Minority (5/25)</td>
<td>• Clinical outcomes (e.g., glycemic control, blood pressure, lipid profile)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Veterans (6/25)</td>
<td>• Program feasibility, acceptability, program satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Pregnant (2/25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Young or older adults (2/25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Female/predominantly female (11/25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Predominantly male (4/25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Video (n=5)</strong></td>
<td>• Pre-post design (2/5)</td>
<td>• Rural/predominantly rural (4/5)</td>
<td>• Weight loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Retrospective (1/5)</td>
<td>• Overweight/obesity (3/5)</td>
<td>• Behavior change (diet and physical activity)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Non-randomized trial (1/5)</td>
<td>• Type 2 diabetes/chronic condition (3/5)</td>
<td>• Behavioral (goal achievement)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• RCT (1/4)</td>
<td>• Veterans (2/5)</td>
<td>• Clinical outcomes (e.g., glycemic control, blood pressure, lipid profile)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Medically underserved (1/5)</td>
<td>• Program feasibility, acceptability, program satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Predominantly female (2/5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Predominantly male (1/5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Virtual (n=3)</strong></td>
<td>• Non-randomized trial (1/3)</td>
<td>• Urban (1/3)</td>
<td>• Weight loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• RCT (2/3)</td>
<td>• Overweight/obesity (1/3)</td>
<td>• Behavior change (diet and physical activity)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Inactive (1/3)</td>
<td>• Behavioral (skill level, confidence, commitment for behavior change, behavioral intentions, barriers)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• College students (1/3)</td>
<td>• Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Female (2/3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple Technologies (n=14)</strong></td>
<td>• Cohort (1/14)</td>
<td>• Urban (6/14)</td>
<td>• Weight loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Non-randomized trial (1/14)</td>
<td>• Overweight/obesity (11/14)</td>
<td>• Behavior change (diet and physical activity)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• RCT (12/14)</td>
<td>• Minority (1/14)</td>
<td>• Behavioral (self-efficacy, social support)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Diabetes/at risk (1/14)</td>
<td>• Cardiorespiratory fitness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Female/predominantly female (7/14)</td>
<td>• Clinical outcomes (e.g., glycemic control, blood pressure)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Predominantly male (1/14)</td>
<td>• Physical function, health-related quality of life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>